CORRECTION Open Access

## Correction to: A bibliometric analysis of scientific production in the field of lingual orthodontics



Beatriz Tarazona-Alvarez<sup>1</sup>, Rut Lucas-Dominguez<sup>2</sup>, Vanessa Paredes-Gallardo<sup>3\*</sup>, Adolfo Alonso-Arroyo<sup>2</sup> and Antonio Vidal-Infer<sup>2</sup>

Correction to: Head Face Med (2019) 15:23 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13005-019-0207-7

Following publication of the original article [1], the author informed that Table 5 was incorrectly captured which is actually an older version of Table 4. The corrected Table 5 is provided below.

## Author details


<sup>1</sup>Orthodontics Teaching Unit, Department of Dental Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain. <sup>2</sup>UISYS Research Unit (UV-CSIC), Department of History of Science and Information Science, School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain. <sup>3</sup>Orthodontics Teaching Unit, Department of Dental Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Valencia, C/ Gasco Oliag 1, 46010 Valencia, Spain.

Published online: 21 October 2019

## Reference

 Tarazona-Alvarez B, Lucas-Dominguez R, Paredes-Gallardo V, Alonso-Arroyo A, Vidal-Infer A. A bibliometric analysis of scientific production in the field of lingual orthodontics. Head Face Med. 2019;15:23. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13005-019-0207-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Orthodontics Teaching Unit, Department of Dental Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Valencia, C/ Gasco Oliag 1, 46010 Valencia, Spain





<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Vanessa.paredes@uv.es

**Table 5** Details of the selected articles (n = 341) regarding the type of article and methodological parameters

Article type	n (%) *	Statistics (%)**	Control group***	Sample size	Articles
Interventional clinical trials	6 (1.8%)	6 (100%)	6 (100%)	≤ 20	1
				> 20	5
Observational studies	70 (20.5%)	50 (71.4%)	9 (12.8%)	<b>≤</b> 20	8
				> 20	62
Case reports/series	58 (17.1%)	0 (0%)	-	= 1	43
				> 1	15
Laboratory studies	96 (28.1%)	0 (0%)	=	-	-
Systematic reviews	3 (0.9%)	3 (100%)	=	-	-
Narrative reviews	16 (4.7%)	2 (12.5%)	=	-	-
Interviews	2 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	=	=	-
Informative studies	40 (11.7%)	0 (0%)	=	-	-
Others	50 (14.7%)	_	=	-	-

<sup>\*</sup>number of article types and percentage of all selected articles (n=314)
\*\*number of articles providing statistical analysis and percentage of all selected articles (n=314)
\*\*\*number of studies with control group and percentage of all selected articles (n=314)

<sup>-</sup> not applicable